arXiv:1611.01175v1 [math.AT] 3 Nov 2016

# The Borel equivariant cohomology of real Grassmannians

Jeffrey D. Carlson

1 November 2016

**Abstract**

Recent work of Chen He has determined through GKM methods the Borel equivariant cohomology with rational coefficients of the isotropy action on a real Grassmannian and an oriented real Grassmannian through GKM methods. In this expository note, we propound a less involved approach, due essentially to Vitali Kapovitch, to computing equivariant cohomology rings $H^*_K(G/H)$ for $G, K, H$ connected Lie groups, and apply it to recover the equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannians. The bulk is setup and commentary; once one believes in the model, the proof itself is under a page.

The oriented and unoriented real Grassmannians can be viewed as homogeneous quotients

$$\widetilde{G}_\ell(\mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}) = G/K_0 = \mathrm{SO}(m+\ell)\big/\big(\mathrm{SO}(m)\times\mathrm{SO}(\ell)\big),$$
$$G_\ell(\mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}) = G/K = \mathrm{SO}(m+\ell)\big/\mathrm{S}\big(\mathrm{O}(m)\times\mathrm{O}(\ell)\big),$$

which admit a left ***isotropy action*** of $K_0$. Chen He recently computed the Borel equivariant cohomology of these actions [He16, Thms. 5.2.2, 6.3.1, Cor. 5.2.1] through a sophisticated and subtle application of GKM-theory. If one is willing to part with the GKM data, expressions for these rings can be found in a substantially simpler way general to the class of homogeneous spaces. This note presents such a simpler proof to propagandize these techniques.

The two goals of this note, to be short and to be expository, are in tension, much to the expense of the former. While we have been able to make the proof itself quite brief, to explain why the model that we find so convenient should exist at all takes about two pages. We round the note out with an alternate proof and some historical remarks.

*Acknowledgment.* This note was informed by useful conversations with Chen He.

## 1. Statement

We need some notation to restate the result.

**Notation 1.1.** Cohomology is taken with rational coefficients except where explictly notated otherwise. We adopt the abbreviation $H^*_G = H^*_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{pt}) = H^*(BG;\mathbb{Q})$. The total Pontrjagin class in $H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(\ell)}$ is the sum $1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$ of the Pontrjagin classes $p_j \in H^{4j}_{\mathrm{SO}(\ell)}$. If $\ell$ is even, then the top Pontrjagin class $p_{\ell/2}$ is also the square $e^2$ of the Euler class $e \in H^\ell_{\mathrm{SO}(\ell)}$.

In an attempt to unify the three parity cases, we let $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \beta \leqslant 1$ be natural numbers and replace the $\ell, m$ of the introduction respectively with $2n+\alpha, 2k+\beta$:

$$\begin{aligned} G &:= \mathrm{SO}(2n+2k+\alpha+\beta); \\ K_0 &:= \mathrm{SO}(2n+\alpha) \times \mathrm{SO}(2k+\beta); \\ K &:= \mathrm{S}\big(\mathrm{O}(2n+\alpha) \times \mathrm{O}(2k+\beta)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Then $G/K$ is even-dimensional unless $\alpha = \beta = 1$. The objective rings $H^*_H(G/H)$ are the cohomology of ${}_HG_H := EH \times_H G \times_H EH$, the "two-sided" homotopy quotient, for $H \in \{K, K_0\}$. The projection $[x, g, y] \longmapsto (xK_0, K_0 y)$ makes ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0}$ a $G$-bundle over $BK_0 \times BK_0$. Write $p$ for the total Pontrjagin class of the $B\mathrm{SO}(2n+\alpha)$ factor of the left $BK_0$ and $e$ for its the Euler class if $\alpha = 0$, and similarly $p'$ and $e'$ for the total Pontrjagin class and potential Euler class of the $B\mathrm{SO}(2k+\beta)$ factor of the left $BK_0$. For the right $BK_0$, symmetrically write $\pi, \varepsilon, \pi', \varepsilon'$. These then pull back to classes in $H^*_{K_0}(G/K_0)$ which we notate by the same letters.

Faced with an inhomogeneous element like the total Pontrjagin class $p$, we make the abbreviation $\mathbb{Q}[p] := \mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_n]$, and similarly we denote by $(x-y) := (x_j - y_j)_{j \geqslant 0}$ the ideal generated by the **homogeneous components** of $x - y$. We write $\Lambda P$ for the free commutative graded algebra (CGA) on a positively-graded rational vector space; it is the tensor product of an exterior algebra (on the odd-degree subspace) and a symmetric algebra (on the even degrees), but we default to the notation $\mathbb{Q}[P]$ whenever all generators are of even degree.

**Theorem 1.2** (He, 2016). *The Borel equivariant cohomology rings of the left action of the isotropy group $K_0$ on the oriented Grassmannian $G/K_0$ and of $K$ on the unoriented Grassmannian $G/K$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(2n)\times\mathrm{SO}(2k)} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p, p', e, e', \pi, \pi', \varepsilon, \varepsilon']}{(pp' - \pi\pi', ee' - \varepsilon\varepsilon')}, \\ H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(2n)\times\mathrm{SO}(2k+1)} \widetilde{G}_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+1}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p, p', e, \pi, \pi', \varepsilon]}{(pp' - \pi\pi')}, \\ H^*_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(2n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(2k+1))} G_{2k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+2}) \cong H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)\times\mathrm{SO}(2k+1)} \widetilde{G}_{2k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+2}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p, p', \pi, \pi']}{(pp' - \pi\pi')} \otimes \Lambda[\eta], \\ H^*_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(2n)\times\mathrm{O}(2k))} G_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p, p', ee', \pi, \pi', \varepsilon\varepsilon']}{(pp' - \pi\pi', ee' - \varepsilon\varepsilon')}, \\ H^*_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(2n)\times\mathrm{O}(2k+1))} G_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+1}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p, p', \pi, \pi']}{(pp' - \pi\pi')}, \end{aligned}$$

*where $\eta$ restricts under $G \longrightarrow {}_{K_0}G_{K_0}$ to the suspension in $H^{2n+2k+1}\mathrm{SO}(2n+2k+2)$ of the Euler class in $H^{2n+2k+2}_{\mathrm{SO}(2n+2k+2)}$, and the relations $e^2 = p_n$, $(e')^2 = p'_k$, $\varepsilon^2 = \pi_n$, $(\varepsilon')^2 = \pi'_k$ are tacit.*

One can improve the coefficients a tad without complicating the statement.

**Proposition 1.3.** *The same isomorphisms hold with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.*[1]

*Remark* 1.4. The equivariant cohomology in the original statement of the theorem is with respect to a maximal torus $T$ of $K_0$; these expressions are recoverable, once we have explicit forms for the

[1] It seems likely that all the torsion is in fact of order 2, so the statement will remain true if one replaces the cohomology with integral cohomology modulo torsion of order 2 on the left-hand side, and $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring expressions on the right.

injections $H^*_K \hookrightarrow H^*_{K_0} \hookrightarrow H^*_T$, via the isomorphism [Hsi75, Prop. III.1, p. 31]

$$H^*_T(G/K_0) \cong H^*_T \underset{H^*_{K_0}}{\otimes} H^*_{K_0}(G/K_0)$$

for the oriented Grassmannian, and for the unoriented the fact that ${}_{K_0}G_K \longrightarrow {}_KG_K$ is a double cover identifying $H^*_K G_K$ with the invariant subring $(H^*_{K_0} G_K)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

The map $H^*_{K_0} \longrightarrow H^*_T$ is the tensor product of the maps $H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(2n+\alpha)} \longrightarrow H^*_{T^n}$ and $H^*_{\mathrm{SO}(2k+\beta)} \longrightarrow H^*_{T^k}$, both admitting the same description. Recall [Hatb, Thm. 3.16] that the canonical inclusions of

$$\begin{aligned} H^*\big(B\mathrm{SO}(2n);\mathbb{Z}\big)\big/_{2\text{-torsion}} &\cong \mathbb{Z}[p_1,\dots,p_{n-1},e], \qquad \deg p_j = 4j,\ \deg e = 2n, \\ H^*\big(B\mathrm{SO}(2n+1);\mathbb{Z}\big)\big/_{2\text{-torsion}} &\cong \mathbb{Z}[p_1,\dots,p_{n-1},p_n], \qquad \deg p_j = 4j \end{aligned}$$

as the Weyl invariants of $H^*(BT^n;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1,\dots,t_n]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_\ell &\longmapsto (-1)^\ell \sigma_\ell(t_1^2,\dots,t_n^2), \\ e &\longmapsto t_1\cdots t_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_\ell$ is the $\ell^{\text{th}}$ elementary symmetric polynomial; we can equivalently write $p = \prod(1-t_j^2)$.

To understand $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*_{K_0}$, we determine the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $H^*_{K_0} \leqslant H^*_T$ induced by the double covering $BK_0 \longrightarrow BK$. For this, note the nonidentity component of $K = \mathrm{S}\big(\mathrm{O}(2n+\alpha)\times\mathrm{O}(2k+\beta)\big)$ consists of pairs of matrices $(h,h')$ with $\det h = \det h' = -1$, e.g., the pair with $h$ and $h'$ block diagonal of the form $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right] \oplus [1] \oplus \cdots \oplus [1]$. Conjugating $T^{n+k} = T^n \times T^k$ by this $(h,h')$ inverts one coordinate circle per toral factor, so the induced action on $H^2_{T^{n+k}} \cong H^1T^{n+k}$ sends the generator $t_1$ to $-t_1$ in $H^2_{T^n}$ and the generator $t_{n+1}$ to $-t_{n+1}$ in $H^2_{T^k}$, thus preserving the $p_\ell$ but sending $e \mapsto -e$. Thus $e = t_1\cdots t_n$ and $e' = t_{n+1}\cdots t_{n+k}$ are not invariant under the larger symmetry group, but $ee'$ still is invariant if it was in the image of $H^*_{K_0}$ to begin with, and the image of $H^*_K \hookrightarrow H^*_{K_0} \hookrightarrow H^*_T$ is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}\big[p,p',e^2,ee',(e')^2\big] & \text{if } \alpha=\beta=0, \\ \mathbb{Q}[p,p'] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# 2. Models

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on a model for homotopy biquotients due to Kapovitch [Kap, Prop. 1] and modeled after results of Eschenburg [Esc92, Thm. 1, p. 159] and Cartan [Car51, Thm. 5, p. 216][Bor53, Thm. 25.2], which we rederive briefly here. All our models will be pure Sullivan algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** A ***pure Sullivan algebra*** $(\Lambda Q \otimes \Lambda P, d)$ is a finitely-generated commutative differential graded algebra (CDGA) over the rationals, with $\Lambda P$ an exterior algebra, $\Lambda Q$ a symmetric algebra, and $d$ a derivation of degree 1 such that

$$dP \leqslant \Lambda Q \qquad \text{and} \qquad d\Lambda Q = 0.$$

A ***pure Sullivan model*** of a space $X$ is a CDGA map from a pure Sullivan algebra $(\Lambda Q \otimes \Lambda P, d)$ to the algebra $A_{\mathrm{PL}}(X)$ of polynomial differential forms on $X$. All we need to know about the latter algebra is that it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-CDGA quasi-isomorphic as a DGA to the singular cochain algebra $C^*(X;\mathbb{Q})$. In what follows we will mostly refer to models in terms of their source algebras and take the maps to $A_{\mathrm{PL}}(X)$ for granted.

Sullivan models behave well with respect to fibrations and pullbacks.[2]

**Theorem 2.2** ([FHT01, Prop. 15.5,8]). *Given a map of Serre fibrations*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & F' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ B & \xrightarrow[f]{} & B' \end{array}$$

*and Sullivan models* $(\Lambda V_{B'}, d) \longrightarrow (\Lambda V_B, d)$ *for* $f$ *and* $(\Lambda V_{B'}, d) \longrightarrow (\Lambda V_{B'} \otimes \Lambda V_{F'}, d)$ *for* $q$*, if* $H^*F' \longrightarrow H^*F$ *is an isomorphism,* $\pi_1 B = \pi_1 B' = \pi_0 E = \pi_0 E' = 0$*, and either* $H^*F$ *or both of* $H^*B$ *and* $H^*B'$ *are of finite type, then* $E$ *admits a Sullivan model*

$$(\Lambda V_E, d) = (\Lambda V_B, d) \underset{(\Lambda V_{B'}, d)}{\otimes} (\Lambda V_{B'} \otimes \Lambda V_{F'}, d) \cong (\Lambda V_B \otimes \Lambda V_{F'}, d).$$

We will apply the theorem in the following situation. Note that $G$ admits a natural "two-sided" action of $G^2$ given by $(g, h) \cdot x = gxh^{-1}$. Let $U \leqslant G^2$ be any closed, connected subgroup acting by the restriction of the two-sided action and consider the homotopy quotient $G_U$; note ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0}$, whose cohomology we aim to compute, is $G_U$ for $U = K_0^2$. The associated Borel fibration

$$\begin{aligned} G_U = (EG \times G \times EG)/U &\longrightarrow EG \times_U EG = BU, \\ [e, g, e'] &\longmapsto [e, e'], \end{aligned}$$

admits a $G$-bundle map to the bundle $\Delta\colon BG = EG \times_G EG \longrightarrow BG \times BG$, given on total spaces as $[e, g, e'] \longmapsto [eg, e'] = [e, ge']$. The induced map $BU \longrightarrow BG \times BG$ downstairs is $Bi$, induced functorially by the inclusion $i\colon U \hookrightarrow G$. Then Theorem 2.2, applied to the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & = & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_U & \longrightarrow & BG \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ BU & \xrightarrow[Bi]{} & BG \times BG. \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

will give us a model of $G_U$ once we have models for the maps $BU \longrightarrow BG^2$ and $BG \longrightarrow BG^2$.

The first observation is that by Hopf's theorem [Hop41, Satz I], the cohomology of $G$ is a Hopf algebra, whose underlying algebra is the exterior algebra $\Lambda P$ on the space of primitive elements $P = PH^*G$. Thus $G$ admits a pure Sullivan model $(\Lambda P, 0)$ with trivial differential.

For the second observation, write $\Sigma P$ for the ***suspension*** of $P$, the graded vector space defined by $(\Sigma P)_n = P_{n-1}$, and $QH_G^* = \widetilde{H}_G^*/\widetilde{H}_G^*\widetilde{H}_G^*$ for the space of ***irreducibles*** of $H_G^*$. A set of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generators for $H_G^*$ is exactly the image of a basis for $QH_G^*$ under a linear splitting of the projection

[2] We only need the following result for the *pure* Sullivan models we have defined, but it holds for all Sullivan models.

$H^*_G \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow QH^*_G$. Borel's theorem [Bor53, Thm. 19.1] states that the transgression $\tau$ in the Serre spectral sequence of the universal bundle $G \to EG \to BG$ induces a natural graded linear isomorphism $\Sigma P \xrightarrow{\sim} QH^*_G$, and moreover, $H^*_G$ is the polynomial algebra on any lift of $QH^*_G$. Picking such a lift at random, we can write $\tau P = \Sigma P = QH^*_G \leqslant H^*_G$. The same holds of $BU$.

Thus a model of $Bi\colon BU \longrightarrow BG \times BG$ is its own cohomology $(H^*_G \otimes H^*_G, 0) \longrightarrow (H^*_U, 0)$, equipped with the zero differential. The map $\Delta\colon BG \longrightarrow BG \times BG$ is the diagonal up to homotopy, so it induces in cohomology the cup product $H^*_G \otimes H^*_G \longrightarrow H^*_G$, whose kernel is the ideal $(1 \otimes \tau z - \tau z \otimes 1 \,:\, z \in P)$ since $H^*_G$ is the symmetric algebra on the space $Q \cong QH^*_G = \tau P$. The Serre spectral sequence of the right bundle in (2.1) thus suggests we model $\Delta$ by the inclusion $(H^*_G \otimes H^*_G, 0) \hookrightarrow (H^*_G \otimes H^*_G \otimes H^*G, d')$, where $d'$ vanishes on $H^*_G \otimes H^*_G$ and takes $z \in P$ to $1 \otimes \tau z - \tau z \otimes 1$ [Esc92, Prop., p. 157].

From these two models, Theorem 2.2 constructs the model

$$(H^*_U \otimes H^*G, d)$$

for $G_U$, where $d$ is the derivation that vanishes on $H^*_U$ and takes $z \in PH^*G$ to $(Bi)^*d'z \in H^*_U$ for $d'$ the differential in the model $(H^*_G \otimes H^*_G \otimes H^*G, d')$ of $BG$. Specializing to the case $U = H_0 \times K_0$, for $H_0$ and $K_0$ closed, connected subgroups of $G$, we get a pure Sullivan algebra

$$(H^*_{H_0} \otimes H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^*_G, d)$$

modeling ${}_{K_0}G_{H_0}$, where $d$ vanishes on $H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^*_{H_0}$ and takes a primitive $z \in PH^*G$ to

$$(\rho^*_{H_0} \otimes \rho^*_{K_0})(1 \otimes \tau z - \tau z \otimes 1),$$

for $\rho^*_{H_0}\colon H^*_G \longrightarrow H^*_{H_0}$ and $\rho^*_{K_0}\colon H^*_G \longrightarrow H^*_{K_0}$ the maps induced functorially by the inclusions of $H_0$ and $K_0$ in $G$. Specializing again to the case $H_0 = K_0$, we get a pure Sullivan algebra

$$(H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0} \otimes H^*_G, d) = (H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^*G, d)$$

modeling ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0}$, where $d$ vanishes on $H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0}$ and takes a primitive $z \in PH^*G$ to

$$(\rho^* \otimes \rho^*)(1 \otimes \tau z - \tau z \otimes 1),$$

for $\rho^* = \rho^*_{K_0}$. Specializing instead to the case $H_0 = 1$, we get back the *Cartan algebra* computing $H^*(G/K_0)$, which we will discuss more in Section 4.

# 3. Proof

Equipped with Kapovitch's model $(H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0} \otimes H^*G, d)$, Theorem 1.2 becomes fairly straightforward.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We start with the oriented Grassmannians, to which the model applies directly. In the even-dimensional cases, since $\operatorname{rk} G = \operatorname{rk} K_0$, the map $\rho^*\colon H^*_G \longrightarrow H^*_{K_0}$ is an injection and $H^*(G/K_0) \cong H^*_{K_0}/(\rho^* \widetilde{H}^*_G)$ by a result of Leray [Ler49a], where the tilde indicates elements of positive degree.[3] This means the Serre spectral sequence of $G/K_0 \to BK_0 \to BG$ collapses at $E_2$,

[3] We cite the earliest version of this result, which requires $G$ to not have exceptional factors, as it applies to our special case; later versions [Ler51, §2][Bor53, Thm. 26.1] remove this restriction (and have published proofs).

so $H^*_{K_0}$ is a free graded $H^*_G$-module [Bau68, Thm. 6.3] and in particular flat.[4] Viewing the CDGA $(H^*_G \otimes H^*G,\ z \mapsto \tau z)$ as an $H^*_G$-module resolution $H^*_G \otimes \Lambda^\bullet PH^*G \to \mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, the cohomology of $H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0} \otimes H^*G$ can be seen as

$$\mathrm{Tor}^*_{H^*_G}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^*_{H^*_G}(H^*_{K_0}, H^*_{K_0}).$$

By flatness, the higher $\mathrm{Tor}^j$ vanish, so this is just $\mathrm{Tor}^0_{H^*_G}(H^*_{K_0}, H^*_{K_0}) = H^*_{K_0} \otimes_{H^*_G} H^*_{K_0}$. Since the Pontrjagin classes and Euler class generate $H^*_G$, the tensor product can be computed explicitly by modding out of $H^{\otimes 2}_{K_0}$ the ideal identifying in the two copies of $H^*_{K_0}$ the images of the positive-degree components of $H^*_G$, which are generated by the images of the Pontrjagin class $\widetilde{p}$ and Euler class $\widetilde{e}$. But it is clear $\rho^*$ sends $\widetilde{p} = \prod_1^{n+k}(1-t_j^2) \longmapsto \prod_1^n(1-t_j^2)\cdot\prod_1^k(1-t_{n+j}^2) = pp'$ and $\widetilde{e} = \prod_1^{n+k} t_j \longmapsto ee'$, so we obtain the first two expressions in the statement of the theorem by modding $pp' - \pi\pi'$ and $ee' - \varepsilon\varepsilon'$ out of $H^*_K \otimes H^*_K$.

For the odd-dimensional unoriented Grassmannian, note that by the previous case, the restriction of $\rho^*$ to the subring $\mathbb{Q}[\widetilde{p}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{p}_{n+k}]$remains a flat ring extension, but $\widetilde{e} = t_1 \cdots t_{n+k+1}$ must be sent to zero because the maximal torus of $K_0$ has rank only $n+k$. Thus if $\eta \in H^{2n+2k+1}G$ is the primitive that transgresses to $\widetilde{e}$, the tensor factor $\Lambda[\eta]$ splits off $H^*_{K_0}G_{K_0}$, while the other factor $H^*_{K_0} \otimes_{H^*_G} H^*_{K_0}$ is as before.

To obtain the expressions for the unoriented Grassmannian, note that ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0} \longrightarrow {}_KG_K$ is a normal covering with fiber $(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Thus [Hat02, Prop. 3G.1] we may identify $H^*_K G_K$ with the $(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2)$-invariants of $H^*_{K_0}G_{K_0}$ From Remark 1.4, the generator of the first $\mathbb{Z}/2$ factor and reverses $e, e'$ and fixes the other generators, and symmetrically that of the second factor reverses only $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$. This yields the expressions for $H^*_K G_K$. □

*Proof of Proposition 1.3.* The torsion of the fiber and base of the bundle $G \to {}_{K_0}G_{K_0} \to BK_0 \times BK_0$ is all of order two [Mil53, Thm. 3.2][Tho60, Thms. A,B,12.1][BJ82, Thms. 1.5,6], so the Serre spectral sequence of the bundle tells us the torsion of ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0}$ must be of 2-primary order as well [Hata, Lem. 1.9]. As the covering map ${}_{K_0}G_{K_0} \longrightarrow {}_KG_K$ is 4-sheeted, it induces an injection in cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ coefficients [Hat02, Prop. 3G.1] . □

**Corollary 3.1** (Leray [Bor53, Thm. 26.1], Takeuchi [Tak62, p. 320])**.** *The singular cohomology of Grassmannians is given by the expressions*

$$\begin{aligned}
H^*\widetilde{G}_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p,p',e,e']}{(pp'-1, ee')},\\
H^*\widetilde{G}_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+1}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p,p',e]}{(pp'-1)},\\
H^*G_{2k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+2}) \cong H^*\widetilde{G}_{2k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+2}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p,p']}{(pp'-1)} \otimes \Lambda[\eta],\\
H^*G_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k}) \cong H^*G_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k+1}) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[p,p']}{(pp'-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since the particular actions we were interested in were equivariantly formal, the expressions above follow from Theorem 1.2 on modding out the homogeneous ideal $(\pi - 1, \pi' - 1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon')$. □

*Remark* 3.2. To illustrate this technique's versatility, lest the reader imagine it be limited to real Grassmannians, we extract some representative examples out of the class of all homogeneous

[4] In fact, for a positively-graded module over a connected CGA, flatness also implies freedom [NS02, Prop. A.1.5].

spaces. We claim all the following are computable with equal facility from the model $(H^*_{H_0} \otimes H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^*G, d)$ once one has expressions for the maps $\rho^*_H$ and $\rho^*_K$.:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^*_{\mathrm{Sp}(k)\times\mathrm{Sp}(n)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(n+k)/\mathrm{Sp}(k)\times\mathrm{Sp}(n);\mathbb{Z}\right) &= \mathbb{Z}[p,p',\pi,\pi']/(pp'-\pi\pi');\\
H^*_{\mathrm{U}(2k)}\left(\mathrm{U}(2n)/\mathrm{Sp}(n);\mathbb{Z}\right) &= \frac{\mathbb{Z}[c_2,\dots,c_{2k},p_1,\dots,p_n]}{(c_{2j}-p_j)}\otimes\Lambda[z_{2k+1},z_{2k+3},\dots,z_{2n-1}];\\
H^*_{\mathrm{U}(n)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\mathrm{U}(n);\mathbb{Z}\right) &= \mathbb{Z}[c,\varkappa]/(c\bar{c}-\varkappa\bar{\varkappa});\\
H^*_{G_2}\left(\mathrm{Spin}(9)/G_2\right) &= \mathbb{Q}[y_4,y_{12}]\otimes\Lambda[z_7,z_{15}];\\
H^*_{G_2}(F_4/G_2) &= \mathbb{Q}[y_4,y_{12}]\otimes\Lambda[z_{15},z_{23}];\\
H^*_{E_6}(E_7/E_6) &= \mathbb{Q}[y_4,y_{10},y'_{10},y_{12},y_{16},y_{18},y'_{18},y_{24},]\otimes\Lambda[z_{19},z_{27},z_{35}];\\
H^*_{S^1}(G/S^1) &= \frac{\mathbb{Q}[s_2,\sigma_2]}{(s_2^2-\sigma_2^2)}\otimes\frac{H^*G}{(z_3)}, \qquad \begin{array}{l}\mathrm{im}(H^1G\longrightarrow H^1S^1)=0,\\ \text{action equivariantly formal;}\end{array}\\
H^*_{\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3)}\left(\mathrm{SU}(6)/\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3)\right) &= \mathbb{Q}[c_2,c_3,c'_2,c'_3,\varkappa_2,\varkappa_3,\varkappa'_2,\varkappa'_3]/(cc'-\varkappa\varkappa');\\
H^*_{S^1}\left(\mathrm{SU}(3)/S^1\right) &= \Lambda[v_2,w_2,y_7]/(vw,yw,w^3), \qquad S^1=\left\{\mathrm{diag}(z,z,z^{-2})\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $c = 1+c_1+\cdots+c_n, c', \varkappa, \varkappa'$ are total Chern classes, $\bar{c} = 1-c_1+\cdots+(-1)^n c_n, \bar{\varkappa}$ are the duals, $p$, etc., are symplectic Pontrjagin classes, and $c_1 = 0$ in $H^*_{\mathrm{SU}(3)}$. In all of these examples but the last two, the spaces are formal.

# 4. Culture

In this last section we provide some related results and cultural context. First, an alternate proof of Theorem 1.2 applies the following two results:

**Theorem 4.1** ([KV93, Prop. 68, p. 161])**.** *Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, $K$ a closed subgroup of equal rank, and $H$ another closed subgroup. Then there is a ring isomorphism*

$$H^*_K(G/H) \cong H^*_K \underset{H^*_G}{\otimes} H^*_H.$$

**Theorem 4.2** ([Car, Thm. 11.1.1])**.** *Let $G$ be a connected Lie group and $K_0$ a closed, connected subgroup such that the isotropy action of $K_0$ on $G/K_0$ is equivariantly formal. Then there is a natural $(H^*_{K_0}\otimes H^*_{K_0})$-algebra isomorphism*

$$H^*_{K_0}(G/K_0) \cong \left(H^*_{K_0} \underset{H^*_G}{\otimes} H^*_{K_0}\right)\otimes\Lambda\widehat{P},$$

*where $\Lambda\widehat{P}$ is isomorphic to the image of* $\mathrm{im}\left(H^*(G/K_0)\longrightarrow H^*G\right)$.

*Less-generalizable proof of Theorem 1.2.* The even-dimensional cases are immediate from Theorem 4.1. The isotropy action on the odd-dimensional oriented Grassmannian is also known to be equivariantly formal (e.g., isotropy actions on (generalized) symmetric spaces are equivariantly formal by work of Goertsches [Goe12] (resp., Goertsches–Noshari [GN15])), so one needs only note the image of $H^*(G/K_0)\longrightarrow H^*G$ is $\Lambda[\eta]$ (e.g., by the analysis of $\rho^*\colon H^*_G\longrightarrow H^*_{K_0}$ from the other proof) and apply 4.2. □

But we emphasize that this alternate proof is specialized to the spaces in question, whereas the model applies in great generality. Beyond the fact that in both cases the action is equivariantly formal and in the even-dimensional case $G$ and $K_0$ are of equal rank, Grassmannians are *formal* in the sense of rational homotopy theory (as all generalized symmetric spaces must be [Ter01, §4][Stke02, Prop. 4.1]). In fact, as will be shown in a revision of a joint work with Chi-Kwong Fok [CF15], the isotropy group $K_0$ can act equivariantly formally on $G/K_0$ *only if* the latter is a formal space. In terms of the map $\rho^*: H^*_G \longrightarrow H^*_K$ used to define the differential, Halperin showed $G/K_0$ is formal if and only if, given any minimal set of homogeneous elements $x_j \in \widetilde{H}^*_G$ whose images $\rho^* x_j$ generate the ideal $(\rho^* \widetilde{H}^*_G) \lhd H^*_{K_0}$, the ring $H^*_{K_0}$ is in fact a free module over the subring $\mathbb{Q}[\rho^* x_j]$ [GHV76, Thm. 11.5.IV, pp. 463–4].In the language of Baum's thesis [Bau68, Def. 4.9], the pair $(G, K_0)$ has deficiency 0.

*Remark* 4.3. Kumar–Vergne prove Theorem 4.1 with real coefficients, but it follows rationally [Car, Thm. 6.2.6] from the pullback of the diagram $BH \longrightarrow BG \longleftarrow BK$. First observe that for $K_0$ the identity component in $K$, one has $H^*_{K_0} \longrightarrow H^*(G/K_0)$ surjective [Bor53, Thm. 26.1]. Then $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*(G/K)$ can be identified [Hat02, Prop. 3G.1] with the map of $\pi_0 K$-invariants, which by an averaging argument is again surjective. From this, the equivariant Künneth theorem[5] applies to the pullback diagram, and the pullback is homotopy equivalent to ${}_K G_H$.

The result can also be obtained from fixed-point theory on generalized flag varieties. Tu proves [Tu10, Thm. 11] that if $G$ is a connected Lie group with maximal torus $T$ and $K$ a closed, connected subgroup containing $T$, then one has the expression $H^*_T(G/K) \cong H^*_T \otimes_{H^*_G} H^*_K$. Recalling the natural isomorphism $H^*_H(X) \cong H^*_T(X)^{W_H}$, where $W_H$ is the Weyl group [Ler49b, Thm. 1][Hsi75, Prop. III.1, p. 31], we recover Theorem 4.1 with the restriction the groups be connected.

*Remark* 4.4. Kapovitch himself applied his model to the case in which $U$ acts freely and so yields an honest biquotient manifold $G/U$. If we apply this model to $U = 1 \times K_0$ for $K_0$ a closed, connected subgroup of $G$, this yields homogeneous spaces themselves, and in this instance, as noted in Section 2, the model specializes to the Cartan algebra described in the next remark.

*Remark* 4.5. The expressions in Corollary 3.1 for even-dimensional oriented Grassmannians are special cases of general considerations due to Leray [Bor53, Thm. 26.1] and the odd-dimensional case seems to be have first been computed by Takeuchi [Tak62, p. 320], using the ***Cartan algebra***

$$H^*(H^*_{K_0} \otimes H^* G, d) \cong H^*(G/K_0).$$

That the model computes $H^*(G/K_0)$ was first proved by Cartan [Car51, Thm. 5, p. 216][Bor53, Thm. 25.2] for reductive, connected Lie groups $G$ and $K_0$, the latter closed in the former; the Poincaré polynomials for $\widetilde{G}_\ell(\mathbb{R}^m)$ are already included at the end of Cartan's transgression paper [Car51, p. 219].

The Cartan algebra can be seen as a consequence of a more general result due to Chevalley, which given a model $(\Lambda V_B, d)$ of the base of a principal bundle $G \to E \to B$ produces a model $(\Lambda V_B \otimes H^* G, d)$ of the total space $E$. This is the same model produced from Theorem 2.2 when one lets $f: B \longrightarrow BG$ be the classifying map and models $q: EG \longrightarrow BG$ by the map $(\Lambda \Sigma P, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda \Sigma P \otimes \Lambda P, \tau)$ resulting from Borel's theorem. In the special case of the bundle $G \to G_{K_0} \to BK_0$, by Borel's theorem again we can model $BK_0$ by $(H^*_{K_0}, 0)$, so Chevalley's theorem returns the Cartan algebra.

[5] which can also be seen from the collapse of the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence and the fact $\mathrm{Tor}^* = \mathrm{Tor}^0$ because $H^*_K$ is free over $H^*_G$

The major protagonists in this chapter of the story leading to this computation of $H^*(G/K_0)$ are Leray, Chevalley, Cartan, Koszul, and Borel.[6] The main primary sources are the proceedings of the 1950 Brussels *Colloque de topologie* and Borel's thesis [Cen51, Bor53]. The main secondary sources are long survey papers by André and Rashevskii, and later the tomes of Greub et al. and Onishchik [And62, Ras69, GHV76, Oni94]. This material was important in the author's dissertation, which has gradually been evolving into a book on the topic, available online [Car] and awaiting critical feedback. (The material in Section 2 on homogeneous spaces is all developed in Ch. 8.)

*Remark* 4.6. While the fastest way to obtain the Cartan algebra is in terms of rational homotopy theory, doing so is anachronistic. Out of general historical interest and the unbounded space afforded us by the arXiv, we summarize in this remark the original derivations of the Cartan model.

Cartan's original approach [Car51] does not directly use a model of $BK$ to apply Chevalley's theorem to $G \to G_K \to BK$ because $BK$ is not a manifold and $H^*(BK)$ had not been calculated before this note.[7] Instead, he starts with an acyclic $\mathbb{R}$-CDGA $W\mathfrak{k} = \Lambda\Sigma\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes \Lambda\mathfrak{k}^\vee$, the ***Weil algebra***, where $\mathfrak{k}^\vee$ is the dual to the Lie algebra of $K$, equipped with natural actions of $\mathfrak{k}$ by inner multiplications $\iota_\xi$ and the Lie derivative $\mathscr{L}_\xi$, and meant to serve as a model for $EK$.[8] Given a principal $K$-bundle $K \to E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ he constructs the ***Weil model*** $\left(\Lambda\Sigma\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes \Lambda\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes \Omega^\bullet(E)\right)_{\text{bas}}$ of $H^*_K(E;\mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(B;\mathbb{R})$; here the subscript denotes the *basic subalgebra* annihilated by all $\iota_\xi$ and $\mathscr{L}_\xi$. The idea is that this should serve as a model for the base $B$, and indeed Cartan shows the natural inclusion of $\pi^*\Omega(B) \cong \Omega(B)$ in the Weil model is a quasi-isomorphism. He then shows the Weil model is quasi-isomorphic to the ***Cartan model*** $\left(\Lambda\Sigma\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes \Omega^\bullet(E)\right)^K$; this in turn, when our principal bundle is $K \to G \to G/K$ for $G$ another compact, connected Lie group, is quasi-isomorphic to a DGA with underlying algebra $\Lambda\Sigma\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes H^*(G)$.[9] This is the Cartan algebra from the preceding comment, derived from very different considerations. The claimed isomorphisms rely on the existence of principal connections, viewed as linear maps $\mathfrak{k}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega^1(E)$ respecting both actions of $\mathfrak{k}$, and on the fact there exist $K$-invariant representative forms for the classes on $H^*(E;\mathbb{R})$.

The predecessor of the rational homotopy theory construction is due to Borel [Bor53, §24–5]. It begins with a principal $G$-bundle $G \to P \to B$ with $G$ connected; we will eventually want this to be the bundle $G \to G_K \to BK$ for $K$ a connected subgroup of $G$. One then needs to find CDGA models $A(P)$ of $P$, $B$, and $BG$. There are several options:

- the algebra $A_{\text{PL}}$ of polynomial differential forms;
- the de Rham algebra $\Omega^\bullet$ of smooth forms; this works for $G_K$ since it is a countable increasing union of smooth manifolds;
- the global sections $\mathscr{F}(P)$ of a fine sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ of connected $\mathbb{R}$-CDGAs on $P$; such a sheaf exists so long as $P$ is compact Hausdorff by topologically embedding $P$ in some sphere $S^k$ and

[6] There is a later chapter in the story of the cohomology of a homogeneous space, due to authors including Paul Baum, Peter May, Victor Gugenheim, Hans Munkholm, and Joel Wolf, which derives from the collapse of the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence of the bundle $G/K_0 \to BK_0 \to BG$ at $E_2 = \operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BG;k)}\left(k, H^*(BK_0;k)\right)$, under certain conditions on the coefficient ring (which we passed to $\mathbb{Q}$ immediately to bypass) and which is correspondingly more subtle. Note that the cohomology of the Cartan algebra can be expressed as $\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*_G}(\mathbb{Q}, H^*_{K_0})$ since the Koszul complex $(H^*_G \otimes H^*G, \tau)$ yields a resolution of $\mathbb{Q}$ as a $H^*_G$-module.

[7] There also seems to have been a desire to stay in the realm of manifolds, so that finite-dimensional truncations of $BK$ are mentioned instead. In Chevalley's review of this work, he notes that $BK$ *does not exist*, a statement that only makes sense if one demands finite-dimensionality.

[8] The Weyl model is in fact isomorphic as a DGA to the model $(\Lambda\Sigma P \otimes \Lambda P, \tau)$ of $EK$ but not in the most obvious way.

[9] For generic $E$, one can find a differential on the graded vector space $\Lambda\Sigma\mathfrak{k}^\vee \otimes H^*(B;\mathbb{R})$ whose cohomology is $H^*_K(E;\mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(B;\mathbb{R})$, but this isomorphism does not generally respect multiplication.

restricting the de Rham algebra [Bor53, Prop. 3.1]; we again have to use a sequence of finite-dimensional approximations to model $G_K \to BK$.

Borel originally used the third model, which was the most general known at the time; the first is more and the second less. Once some commutative model has been selected, note the classifying map $\chi$ of the bundle $G \to P \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ induces a map to the Leray spectral sequence of $\pi$ with coefficients in the constant sheaf over the regular field from that of the universal bundle $G \to EG \to BG$. Because nonzero differentials in spectral sequence of the universal bundle come only from transgressions $\tau z$ of primitives of $H^*G$, the same holds for $P \to B$. Lifting these primitives and their transgressions to closed forms in $A(P)$, we can cobble together a differential subalgebra $A' \cong A(B) \otimes H^*G$ of $A(P)$ with the already-existing differential on $A(B)$ and $dz = \chi^* \tilde{dz}$ for $\tilde{dz}$ some fixed lift to $A(BG)$ of the transgressions $\tau z \in QH^*(BG)$; it is to force $A'$ to be a differential subalgebra that we needed commutativity on the nose. The degree filtration of $A(B)$ induces a filtration of both $A'$ and $A(P)$, so the inclusion of the one on in the other induces a map of filtration spectral sequences. By construction, this map is an isomorphism from $E_2 \cong A'$ onward, so the inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism. But the filtration spectral sequence on $A(P)$ agrees with the Leray spectral sequence of $G \to P \to B$ from $E_2$ on, so $A'$ is a model of $P$.[10] The existence of this model when $B$ is a manifold is an unpublished result due to Chevalley [Kos51, p. 70][Bor53, p. 183] which Cartan also invokes in his derivation.

In the special case of $G \to G_K \to BK$, because there is even a quasi-isomorphic injection $(H_K^*, 0) \rightarrowtail A(BK)$ (this is a strong form of formality). one can replace $A'$ with $A'' = H_K^* \otimes H^*G$, where the differential vanishes on $H_K^*$ and sends $z \in PG$ to $\chi^* \tau z$ for some lift in $H_G^*$ of the transgression $\tau z$. This is again the Cartan algebra. Borel makes a generalization extracting a submodel $A(B) \otimes H^*F$ of $A(E)$, for a fiber bundle $F \to E \to B$, so long as $H^*F$ is an exterior algebra on generators that transgress in the Serre spectral sequence. The map (2.1) and analysis showing $PH^*G$ transgresses in the Serre spectral sequence of $G \to BG \to BG^2$ then gives us a model $A(BU) \otimes H^*G$ of $G_U$ as an instance of Borel's theorem. Replacing $A(BU)$ with $(H_U^*, 0)$ then returns Kapovitch's model.

# References


[And62] Michel André, *Cohomologie des algèbres différentielles où opère une algèbre de Lie*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **14** (1962), no. 3, 263–311.

[Bau68] Paul F. Baum, *On the cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, Topology **7** (1968), no. 1, 15–38.

[BJ82] Edgar H. Brown Jr., *The cohomology of $BSO_n$ and $BO_n$ with integer coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1982), 283–288.

[Bor53] Armand Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), no. 1, 115–207.

[Car] Jeffrey D. Carlson, *On the equivariant cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, manuscript monograph: https://www.dropbox.com/s/bczvscaxuixibac/book.pdf?dl=0.


[10] The reader may note we do not call this the Serre spectral sequence. The reason is that while the distinction is immaterial from the $E_2$ page on, the version of the Leray spectral sequence Borel invokes here uses the filtration of $A$ by ideals generated by $\pi^* A^{\geq p}(B)$, while the Serre spectral sequence as usually understood now replaces $B$ with a CW approximation with skeleta $B^p$ and then filters by $\ker\left(A(P) \longrightarrow A(\pi^{-1}B^{p-1})\right)$. While the former filtration is clearly contained in the latter and the induced spectral sequences agree after $E_2$, Borel uses the former, which makes it clearer that $A' \rightarrowtail A(P)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

[Car51] Henri Cartan, *La transgression dans un groupe de Lie et dans un espace fibré principal*, Colloque de topologie (espace fibrés), Bruxelles 1950 (Liège/Paris), Centre belge de Recherches mathématiques, Georges Thone/Masson et companie, 1951, pp. 57–71.

[Cen51] Centre belge de Recherches mathématiques, *Colloque de topologie (espace fibrés), Bruxelles 1950*, Liège/Paris, Georges Thone/Masson et companie, 1951.

[CF15] Jeffrey D. Carlson and Chi-Kwong Fok, *Equivariant formality of homogeneous spaces*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06228, November 2015.

[Esc92] Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg, *Cohomology of biquotients*, Manuscripta Math. **75** (1992), no. 2, 151–166.

[FHT01] Yves Félix, Steve Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 205, Springer, 2001.

[GHV76] Werner H. Greub, Stephen Halperin, and Ray Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology, vol. III: Cohomology of principal bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Academic Press, 1976.

[GN15] Oliver Goertsches and Sam Haghshenas Noshari, *On the equivariant cohomology of isotropy actions on homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2655v2, Apr 2015.

[Goe12] Oliver Goertsches, *The equivariant cohomology of isotropy actions on symmetric spaces*, Doc. Math. **17** (2012), 79–94.

[Hata] Allen Hatcher, *Spectral sequences in algebraic topology*, math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/SSAT/SSATpage.html.

[Hatb] ———, *Vector bundles and K-theory*, math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/VBKT/VBpage.html.

[Hat02] ———, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.

[He16] Chen He, *GKM theory, characteristic classes and the equivariant cohomology ring of the real Grassmannian*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06243, September 2016.

[Hop41] Heinz Hopf, *Über eie Topologie der Gruppen-Mannigfaltigkeiten und ihre Verallgemeinerungen*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), no. 1, 22–52 (German).

[Hsi75] Wu-Yi Hsiang, *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups*, Springer, 1975.

[Kap] Vitali Kapovitch, *A note on rational homotopy of biquotients*, http://www.math.toronto.edu/vtk/biquotient.pdf.

[Kos51] Jean-Louis Koszul, *Sur un type d'algebres differentielles en rapport avec la transgression*, Colloque de topologie (espace fibrés), Bruxelles 1950 (Liège/Paris), Centre belge de Recherches mathématiques, Georges Thone/Masson et companie, 1951, pp. 73–81.

[KV93] Shrawan Kumar and Michèle Vergne, *Equivariant cohomology with generalized coefficients*, Astérisque **215** (1993), 109–204.

[Ler49a] Jean Leray, *Détermination, dans les cas non exceptionnels, de l'anneau de cohomologie de l'espace homogène quotient d'un groupe de Lie compact par un sous-groupe de même rang*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **228** (1949), no. 25, 1902–1904.

[Ler49b] ———, *Sur l'anneau de cohomologie des espaces homogenés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **229** (1949), no. 4, 281–283.

[Ler51] ———, *Sur l'homologie des groupes de Lie, des espaces homogènes et des espaces fibrés principaux*, Colloque de topologie (espace fibrés), Bruxelles 1950 (Liège/Paris), Centre belge de Recherches mathématiques, Georges Thone/Masson et companie, 1951, pp. 101–115.

[Mil53] Clair E. Miller, *The topology of rotation groups*, Ann. of Math. (1953), 90–114.

[NS02] Mara D. Neusel and Larry Smith, *Invariant theory of finite groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.

[Oni94] Arkadi L. Onishchik, *Topology of transitive transformation groups*, Johann Ambrosius Barth, 1994.

[Ras69] Petr Konstantinovich Rashevskii, *The real cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **24** (1969), no. 3, 23.

[Stę02] Zofia Stępień, *On formality of a class of compact homogeneous spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **93** (2002), no. 1, 37–45.

[Tak62] Masaru Takeuchi, *On Pontrjagin classes of compact symmetric spaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **9** (1962), 313–328.

[Ter01] Svjetlana Terzić, *Cohomology with real coefficients of generalized symmetric spaces*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat **7** (2001), no. 1, 131–157, in Russian.

[Tho60] Emery Thomas, *On the cohomology of the real Grassmann complexes and the characteristic classes of n-plane bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1960), no. 1, 67–89.

[Tu10] Loring W. Tu, *Computing characteristic numbers using fixed points*, A celebration of the mathematical legacy of Raoul Bott (Peter Robert Kotiuga, ed.), C. R. M. Proceedings and Lecture Notes, vol. 50, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques Montréal, Amer. Math. Soc., 2010, pp. 185–206.